

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO BOY 1450 Alexandra, Vauena 22313-1450 www.usptc.gov

APPLICATION NO	FIGING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/054,970	01/25/2002		Teddy M. Keller	82,942	8822
26384	7590	05/20/2003			
		H LABORATOR	FXAMINER		
CODE 1008	2	EL (PATENTS)	PENG, KUO LIANG		
4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20375-5320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1732	:-}
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	2/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	p. (pplicant(s)	1,/
	•	10/054,970	·	KELLER ET AL.	-
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		liana Bon	ng	1712	address
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cov	er sheet with the	correspondence	, имигода
A SHC THE M - Extension - If the p - If NC - Failur - Any re earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions SIK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) I period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a) In no event, ho numerication (30) days, a reply within the statutory r statutory period will apply and will exply will, by statute, cause the applications after the mailing date of this communication.	minimum of thirty (30) da bire SIK (6) MONTHS fron	timely filed lays will be considered to mailing date of th NED (35.11.S.C. § 133)	timely his communication
tatus	Responsive to communication(s) f				
1)区		າກໄດ້ໄ This action is not	n-final.		
2a)			or formal matters	prosecution as	to the merits is
3) <u>□</u> Disposit	closed in accordance with the pra tion of Claims	actice under Ex parts.	yle, 1935 C.D. 11	i, 403 U.G. 213.	
4) 🗔	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the	ne application.	deration		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is	s/are withdrawn from cons.	iuci auoi i.		
5)	howard				
6) <u></u>	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	۸.			
8)⊡		iction and/or election requ.	irement.		
Applica	ation Papers				
	- in abjected to hy	the Examiner.	hierted to his the F	Examiner	
10)[ic/o	are: a) accepted or b) 10	e held in abovence	s. See 37 CFR 11	85(a).
					Examiner.
11)[The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a) 🗀 app	proved b) alook		
	If approved, corrected drawings are	re required in reply to this Office	JU GORUITA		
	The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
	u.o.o. cc 440 and 120)	Jar 25 11 0 0 0 4	19(a)-(d) or (f)	
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a cl	claim for foreign priority und	uai 50 U.S.U. § 1	~ (~) (~) OI (I).	
. /(a) □ ΔII h) □ Some * c) □ None	e of:			
ł	a visited against of the price	iority documents have beer	n received.	lication No	
į		iority documents have been	in received in App	ceived in this M-	ational Stage
	3. Copies of the certified copaphication from the Ir	ppies of the priority docume International Bureau (PCT)	ents have been re Rule 17.2(a)). ified copies not re	eceived in this ive	valional otag
	- Laterant is made of a cla	laim for domestic priority ur	inder 35 0.5.0. 9	113(C) (to a p. o	ovisional application)
i) Acknowledgment is made of a ci	laim for domestic priority L			
Attach	hment(s)		1) Totarview Su	Summary (PTO-413) F	Paper No(s)
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1	view (PTO-948) (449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	nformal Patent Applic	cation (PTO-152)
1	nt and Trademark Office	Office Action Summi		Part of Pa	aner No. 3

Application/Control Number: 10/054,970

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 1-6 and 23-34, drawn to a prepolymer and a process for making the same, classified in class 528, subclass 31.
 - II. Claims 7-12 and 35-38, drawn to a precursor and a process for making the same, classified in class 528, subclass 38.
 - III. Claims 13-20 and 39-45, drawn to a networked polymer and a process for making the same, classified in class 585, subclass 416.
 - IV. Claims 21-22, drawn to a ceramic composition, classified in class 528, subclass481.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b). 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an intermediate for making a polyurethane and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/054,970 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions of Group I and Groups III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of Group I and Groups III and IV have different functions because Group I is directed to a prepolymer and a process for making the same, while Group III is directed to a networked polymer and a process for making the same, and Group IV is directed to a ceramic composition.
- 4. Inventions of Group II and Group III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b). 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an intermediate to react with a compound containing Si-H group via hydrosilylation for making a compatiblizer useful in a blend of poly(silarylene-siloxane) and another polymer (e.g., the compound containing Si-H can be a compound containing both Si-H and a hydrophilic moiety to afford a hydrosilylation product which can enhance the compatibility of the poly(silarylene-siloxane) with a hydrophilic polymer) and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show

Application/Control Number: 10/054,970

Art Unit: 1712

them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Inventions of Group II and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of Group II and Group IV have different functions because Group II is directed to a precursor and a process for making the same, while Group IV is directed to a ceramic composition.
- 6. Inventions of Group III and Group IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b). 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding material without being further converted into a ceramic material and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 1712

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (703) 306-5550. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/054,970

Art Unit: 1712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kuo-Liang Peng

May 12, 2003

Kirky Ping